



MMS

Modern Media Studies

MMS, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2026, pp.118-124.

Print ISSN: 3078-3151; Online ISSN: 3104-5049

Journal homepage: <https://www.mmsjournal.com>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64058/mms260112trcrg>



The Truth of Revealed at the Crossroads: A Review of *Gender, Media, and Literature: A Study of Emerging German Woman Writers Since the 1990s*

Yang Liuqing, Ma Xiaoyuan

Abstract: The “Fräuleinwunder” (literary girl wonder) phenomenon that emerged in German literature during the 1990s sparked enduring debates about the complex relationships among gender, literature, and the market. *Gender, Media, and Literature: A Study of Emerging German Women Writers Since the 1990s* selects five authors—Karen Duve, Jenny Erpenbeck, Julia Franck, Judith Hermann, and Alexa Hennig von Lange—and, employing the dual perspectives of “representativeness” and “generational attributes,” breaks through the regional framework of former East and West Germany to offer an in-depth analysis of the complex representations of gender images, body narratives, and the theme of motherhood in their works, while innovatively incorporating “media” into its scope of investigation. This article reviews the book’s scholarly contributions from three dimensions—“the truth of gender,” “the contention over media,” and “the perfection of literature”—and points out that its core methodology lies in directing the research gaze toward zones of intersecting forces: the friction among gender discourses, the struggle between media logic and authorial subjectivity, and the clashes within literary expression, thereby bringing the true essence of scholarly research to light at these very

crossroads. The book not only lays a solid intellectual foundation for understanding emerging German women's writing since the 1990s but also opens new pathways for cross-disciplinary dialogue among gender studies, media studies, and literary studies.

Keywords: Emerging German Women Writers; Gender; Media; Literary Criticism

Author Biographies: **Liuqing Yang**, Master's student, Institute of Literary Studies, Shanghai International Studies University. Research Interest: comparative literature. E-mail: 2336558412@qq.com. **Ma Xiaoyuan** (Corresponding Author), Assistant researcher, Institute of Literary Studies, Shanghai International Studies University. Research Interests: Modern Western Aesthetics. E-mail: chris8806@163.com.

标题：于交锋处显真章——评《性别·媒体·文学：20 世纪 90 年代以来德国新生代女性作家研究》

摘要：20 世纪 90 年代德国文坛出现的“文学少女奇迹”现象，引发了关于性别、文学与市场之间复杂关系的持久讨论。《性别·媒体·文学：20 世纪 90 年代以来德国新生代女性作家研究》选取卡琳·杜维、燕妮·埃尔彭贝克、尤莉娅·弗兰克、尤迪特·海尔曼、亚历克莎·亨尼希·冯·朗格五位作家，以“代表性”与“代际属性”为双重视角，突破东西德地域框架，深入剖析其作品在性别形象、身体叙事与母性议题上的复杂呈现，并创新性地将“媒体”纳入考察范畴。本文从“性别之真”“媒体之争”“文学之臻”三个维度评述该书的学术贡献，指出其核心方法论在于将研究目光投向多重力量的交锋地带：性别话语的交锋、媒体逻辑与作家主体性的交锋、文学表达的交锋，从而在交锋处显现学术研究的真章。该书不仅为理解 1990 年代以来德国新生代女性写作奠定了坚实的知识基础，也为性别研究、媒体研究与文学研究的交叉对话开辟了新的路径。

关键词：德国新生代女性作家；性别；媒体；文学批评

作者简介：杨柳青，上海外国语大学文学研究院硕士研究生，研究方向：比较文学与世界文学。电邮：2336558412@qq.com。马骁远（通讯作者），上海外国语大学文学研究院助理研究员，研究方向：现代西方美学。电邮：chris8806@163.com。

On October 3, 1990, Germany formally moved toward reunification, sparking a major debate within German-language literary circles. People hovered in the shadow of a core issue: how to strike a balance between artistic expression and historical responsibility? Amidst a wave of innovation, a group of budding young women writers chose to ground themselves in reality, describing the subtle textures of everyday life and pervasive existential anxieties. They were dubbed the “Fräuleinwunder” (literary girl wonder) by the German weekly *Der Spiegel* (Hage, 1999). This label not only brought these writers unprecedented attention but also triggered ongoing discussions about gender, literature, and the market. Looking back thirty years later, one cannot help

but ask: Was the rise of this new generation of women writers a radical turning point in literary history, or a product meticulously orchestrated by the media? How do their works embody the uniqueness of “women’s writing,” and in what ways do they construct narratives of gender, body, and identity amidst the social transformation following German reunification?

A decade of meticulous refinement has gone into this book, and He Ning, having long pondered and cherished these questions, ultimately authored *Gender, Media, and Literature: A Study of Emerging German Women Writers Since the 1990s*. The book selects Karen Duve, Jenny Erpenbeck, Julia Franck, Judith Hermann, and Alexa Hennig von Lange as its subjects of study. Taking “representativeness” and “generational attributes” as its dual perspectives, it transcends the regional framework of East and West Germany to delve deeply into the complex portrayal of gender images, body narratives, and motherhood issues in their works. Innovatively incorporating “media” into its analytical scope, it reveals the intricate interplay between German literature, gender, and media in the post-reunification era. The book’s most exquisite strength lies in the fact that it never shies away from sharp edges but consistently directs its gaze toward the fault lines where tensions converge: the friction of gender discourses, the struggle between media logic and authorial subjectivity, and the clash of literary expressions. It is precisely at these contested crossroads that the author, armed with acute theoretical insight and solid close textual reading, peels away layers of phenomenal fog to reveal the true essence of scholarly research.

1. The Truth of Gender

The author does not essentialize “women’s writing,” nor does she mechanically apply Anglo-American feminist theoretical frameworks. She consistently maintains a keen sensitivity to the specificities of the German-language context, adopting “post-feminism” as a crucial lens for understanding German women’s writing of the 1990s. Post-reunification German society, on the one hand, experienced the institutionalization of feminist achievements, while on the other, it confronted the discursive pressure that “feminism is outdated.” It is within this contradictory context that the gender writing of the new generation of women authors exhibits unprecedented complexity: they are unwilling to return to traditional female roles, yet they keep their distance from radical gender politics; they benefit from the gains of the feminist movement, yet refuse to be simplistically labeled as “feminists.” Behind this complexity lies the friction and contestation of different gender discourses, and the author clearly traces these lines of conflict in her textual analysis.

This theoretical premise is fully unfolded in the concrete analysis of the five writers. Taking Karen Duve

as an example, the author keenly captures the dual strategy of “parody and subversion” in her work. Duve’s novel *Romantic Trap* employs a parodic approach, shaping caricatured and stereotypical characters with unmistakable irony, only to effect a reversal of gender relations at the novel’s conclusion, thereby achieving a deconstruction of traditional gender relationships. The “truth” of Duve lies in her revelation of the predicaments and paradoxes inherent in gender rebellion itself—a truth that can only be reached at the point where resistance and impasse collide.

The analysis of Julia Franck, in turn, focuses on the “theme of motherhood,” a core battleground of feminist theory. Franck’s German Book Prize-winning novel *The Midday Woman* tells the story of a mother who abandons her seven-year-old son while fleeing during World War II—a plot element that in itself constitutes a powerful provocation to the myth of motherhood. He Ning points out that Franck does not simply “deconstruct” motherhood but, through a dual movement of “destruction and rewriting,” liberates it from biological determinism, redefining it as a historical and situational mode of being. The “truth” of Franck lies in her literary approach to the dark, repressed facets of maternal experience—truths that cannot be contained by the motherhood myth, which only emerge at the collision point between myth and lived experience, between public expectation and individual reality.

By contrast, the case of Alexa Hennig von Lange presents another possibility. As the youngest of the five, Lange’s works were once packaged by the media as the youthful writing of a “literary hot chick.” The author, however, offers a highly critical evaluation, judging that the gender relations in Lange’s writing are essentially “old wine in new bottles.” Despite employing the linguistic style and visual presentation of youth subculture, their gender schema still remains trapped within traditional binary frameworks. This judgment reminds us to guard against the romanticized assumption that “women’s writing” automatically equals “gender critique”: the emerging women authors are not endowed with inherently subversive gender consciousness. Their textual practices are less a challenge to the gender order than a symptomatic expression of the complexity of gender concepts in contemporary German society. The “truth” of Lange is precisely a kind of “untruth”; the author’s analysis reveals that the actual face of gender consciousness itself is hybrid and contradictory—a truth that can only be discerned at the crossroads where avant-garde postures intersect with traditional patterns.

He Ning’s handling of the “truth of gender” reflects a self-conscious methodological awareness. She treats gender as a discursive practice that reveals power relations, identity construction, and body politics within texts. This approach allows the book to maintain depth of theoretical reflection while avoiding the suppression of close reading by excessive theorization. “The truth of gender” is not some essentialized

feminine authenticity, but a dialectically complex reality arrived at through critical practice. Such truth can only manifest itself—and can only be grasped—at the site of confrontation.

2. The Contention over Media

If “the truth of gender” forms the core category of the book’s textual analysis, then “the contention over media” constitutes its most original theoretical contribution. By incorporating “media” into her scope of inquiry, He Ning is by no means simply adding an external variable to literary study; rather, she seeks to reveal the structural transformation of the German literary system since the 1990s. At the heart of this transformation lies precisely the confrontation between media and literature—a continuous struggle over the power to define literature, assign value, and command public attention.

The so-called “contention over media” refers both to the media as a significant force vying for the authority to define literature, and to the ongoing negotiation, gaming, and struggle between authors and the media. He Ning does not reduce this conflict to a one-way narrative of “media suppressing literature” but presents the complexity of the confrontation itself: between media and literature, there is both antagonism and complicity, both manipulation and counter-manipulation.

The introduction of the book clearly states that German literature after reunification underwent a profound process of commercialization, mediatization, and “staging” (Inszenierung) (He, 2026). The term “staging” does not refer to literary promotion in the traditional sense, but to the fact that the author’s image, the reception of the work, and even the textual form itself were deeply shaped by media logic. In this context, the “Fräuleinwunder” phenomenon ceases to be merely an internal event of literary history and becomes instead a composite of media strategies, market operations, and cultural imaginings. The debate surrounding this phenomenon was, in essence, a battle over “who has the power to define literary value”—and that is precisely the core of the media contention.

On this point, the author refuses to simply demonize the media as an external force that distorts “pure literature.” Instead, she reveals the complex symbiotic relationship between media and literature. Take Judith Hermann as an example. The publication of her 1998 short story collection *Summerhouse, Later* not only shattered astonishing sales records but also shaped an entirely new authorial image: young, urban, cool. The black-and-white photography used in her visual presentation formed a certain isomorphic relationship with the detached, restrained narrative style of her texts. He Ning terms this “the power of photography,” pointing out that the visual rhetoric of the media and the aesthetic style of the text reinforced each other, together

constituting the unique allure of the “Hermann phenomenon.” In this case, the relationship between media and literature is not one of simple suppression and being suppressed, but a subtle form of complicity. This analysis challenges the long-standing internal-external dichotomy in literary studies. If the author’s public image, the mode of textual reception, and even formal choices are all permeated by media logic, then the so-called “pure text” becomes a presupposition in need of critical reflection. Yet, the author does not therefore slide into a dissolution theory of “everything is media.” Instead, through meticulous differentiation of the five writers’ varying strategies of reception, she presents a tension-filled interaction between media logic and aesthetic autonomy. This very tension lies at the heart of the “contention over media”; confrontation is never the unilateral determination of one party by the other, but a dynamic interaction in which both sides continuously reshape their boundaries.

This differentiation is particularly evident in the case of Karen Duve. Duve’s early works were packaged by the media with the image of the “uninhibited wild beauty,” emphasizing elements of sex, violence, and rebellion within them. However, as her career progressed, Duve gradually revealed an interest in ecological issues and social critique, and her public image underwent a reshaping process of “de-mediatization.” Through the analysis of this case, He Ning reveals that media “staging” is not a unidirectional shaping but an ongoing negotiation and game between the author and the media. The “tussle” between Duve and the media precisely demonstrates the resilience of authorial subjectivity; in this confrontation, the author is not a passive recipient but an agent who can actively participate in the construction of her own image.

It is noteworthy that the author’s investigation of the “contention over media” is not confined to an “external” analysis of the culture industry but extends further to the narrative strategies within the texts themselves. The work of Alexa Hennig von Lange is itself viewed as a “mediatized text”; its linguistic style, narrative perspectives, and characterization all reflect the deep penetration of literary imagination by visual media and consumer culture. This integrated analysis of the “internal” and “external” elevates the author’s handling of the media issue far beyond the traditional scope of the “sociology of literature,” presenting an interdisciplinary vista that merges cultural studies, media studies, and literary studies.

3. The Perfection of Literature

Under the dual lenses of gender and media, the book’s third contribution lies in its understanding of “literature” itself: how to re-establish the legitimacy of literary study after postmodern theories have dissolved “literariness” and cultural studies have decentered the “canon.” The author’s path is not to evade these confrontations by

retreating into the myth of a textually autonomous “pure literature,” but rather, through sustained engagement with gender politics and media logic, to refine literary criticism toward a state of “perfection.”

The so-called “perfection of literature” refers both to the author’s affirmation of the five writers’ literary achievements—works that, forged at the intersection of multiple forces, attain a certain consummate refinement—and to her research method itself: within the intersecting analysis of gender and media, she ultimately returns to the unique value and irreplaceability of the literary text. The author’s approach is embodied in a deliberate balance: she acknowledges that the literary institution is deeply shaped by gender politics and media logic, yet still insists on the centrality of close reading, thereby reaching the consummate realm of literary criticism.

The very chapter structure of the book reflects this methodological orientation. The analysis of each writer consists of three layers: “gender images and relations,” “media staging and personal staging,” and “the position of a woman writer.” This threefold structure of “text—reception—subject” avoids both the sociology that reduces literature to mere “social reflection” and the romanticist mystique that deifies the author as a transcendent genius. The interweaving of these three dimensions ultimately aims at a comprehensive judgment of literary value. Such synthesis can be seen as a response to and transcendence of multiple confrontations.

On the level of textual analysis, He Ning demonstrates a solid grounding in German literature and a nuanced sensitivity to narratology. The analysis of Jenny Erpenbeck is particularly brilliant: Erpenbeck’s texts are renowned for their layering of history and their archaeology of memory, with female figures often poised at the critical threshold of historical upheaval, carrying both the collective memory of East German society and confronting the ruptured experience of post-reunification life. The author encapsulates this as a narrative stance of “persistent questing,” pointing out that the women in Erpenbeck’s writing are neither victims nor heroines, but “seekers” in the historical process—searching for fragments of identity amidst ruins, salvaging shards of memory from oblivion. This questing posture is itself the very embodiment of literature reaching its acme of perfection. In the space between the weight of history and the fissures of language, literature carves out a narrow path to truth. And this narrow path is, in fact, opened up right at the crossroads among history and the individual, memory and forgetting, East Germany and West Germany.

Conclusion: The Truth Revealed at the Crossroads

Taking the book as a whole, on the theoretical level, the author successfully introduces gender studies and media studies into research on contemporary German-language literature, expanding the theoretical horizons

of domestic German literary scholarship. On the methodological level, she achieves an organic integration of close reading and cultural critique, avoiding both the crude suppression of texts by excessive theorization and the empiricist rejection of theoretical reflection. On the level of knowledge production, she lays a solid foundation for the reception of five important German-language authors within Chinese academia.

These three contributions converge in one core methodological consciousness: to bring the truth to light at the crossroads. The author understands deeply that whether it is gender identity, media power, or literary value, none of these are self-evident or pre-existing givens; they can only be identified, revealed, and understood in the clash of multiple forces. Her research consistently directs its gaze toward those tension-laden zones: the friction of gender discourses, the struggle between media logic and authorial subjectivity, and the conflicts within literary expression. It is precisely at these crossroads that she allows the true essence of scholarly research to emerge. Viewed comprehensively, *Gender, Media, and Literature: A Study of Emerging German Women Writers Since the 1990s* not only provides a solid knowledge base for understanding the new generation of German women's writing since the 1990s but also charts new paths for the intersectional dialogue among gender studies, media studies, and literary studies.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Yang Liuqing ^{ID} <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2772-4752>

Ma Xiaoyuan ^{ID} <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-2495-3526>

References

He Ning (2006): *Gender, Media, and Literature: A Study of Emerging German Women Writers Since the 1990s*. Peking University Press, 2026.

[何宁 (2026): 《性别·媒体·文学: 20世纪90年代以来德国新生代女性作家研究》。北京大学出版社。]

Volker Hage (1999): Die jungen Autorinnen: Das Fräuleinwunder [J]. *Der Spiegel*.